

# **Attachment D**

<b>Submissions</b>
--------------------

**From:** Anne Wark <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Anne Wark <[REDACTED]> <Anne Wark <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent on:** Wednesday, February 5, 2025 3:16:10 PM  
**To:** dasubmissions@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au  
**Subject:** Submission - D/2025/4 - 2 Northcote Road GLEBE NSW 2037 - Attention Cissy Li

**Caution:** This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Cissy Li,

I wish to comment on the above application.

I feel very strongly that toilet amenities need to be retained at the site referred to as the East Amenities Building.

The area of the park beyond that building, towards Eglinton and Northcote Roads and towards Rozelle Bay are heavily used on a regular basis by groups of people, small and large, for picnics and other gatherings and for casual ball games. As it is, it can be a long walk for an older person or a young child from, for example, the sandpit near Eglinton Road to the current amenities building. Should it be demolished and not replaced, it will add a further five minutes or so walk for some people to reach the proposed building on the western side of Jubilee Oval.

There are no other facilities available further east from that area (as one moves towards Blackwattle Bay and the new Fish Markets site), until a person reaches the current site of the fish markets, a half hour walk away. Considering the number of people who use the area, public amenities are sparse indeed.

The underground plumbing is already in place at this eastern site, as is a water supply. Those are two major costs in constructing an amenities building.

If the Council does not have the funds at this time to rebuild this Eastern Amenities Building, I suggest it not be demolished, but be left alone until such time as funding is available to construct a replacement, should the Council still feel this is necessary.

Park users need adequate and comfortably accessible amenities, and this building, while perhaps it is old, is still functional and better than nothing.

Thank you for giving consideration to my views.

Sincerely

Anne Wark

**From:** City of Sydney <council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au> on behalf of City of Sydney  
<council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au> <City of Sydney <council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>>  
**Sent on:** Wednesday, February 12, 2025 10:47:42 AM  
**To:** DASubmissions <DASubmissions@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>  
**CC:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Submission - D/2025/4 - 2 Northcote Road GLEBE NSW 2037 - Attention Cissy Li

Dear DA Submissions,

Please refer to Christine's feedback for the submission D/2025/4.

Many Thanks

Johnson

---

**From:** Chris Newton <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 11 February 2025 3:17 PM  
**To:** City of Sydney <council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>  
**Subject:** D/2025/4 Toilet block

**Caution:** This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Ms Li

With the development in the park my issue is that I want **BOTH toilet blocks to be replaced.**

Both may seem close when viewing on a map but not in reality.

Both blocks are used continually and the eastern block is close to Kindergarten and the playground.

Both are extensively used on the weekends as many sports are played on the oval from youth in the mornings to adults in afternoon.

I did reply to 'Have your say' but was not registered in the paperwork. Must wonder if any more objections or suggestions were missed.

As in original paperwork of 'Have your say ' you assure us that one toilet block will be available at all times. I do hope that is still the case.

Thank you  
Christine Newton  
218 Glebe Point Road Glebe  
[REDACTED]

**From:** Tony Langford <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Tony Langford  
<[REDACTED]> <Tony Langford <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent on:** Saturday, February 22, 2025 6:53:28 PM  
**To:** City of Sydney <council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au>  
**Subject:** Feedback on D/2025/4  
**Attachments:** Jubilee Park Trees 2016.JPG (2.02 MB), Jubilee Park Trees 2024.HEIC (2.99 MB)

**Caution:** This email came from outside the organisation. Don't click links or open attachments unless you know the sender, and were expecting this email.

Dear Sydney Council,

I am writing in relation to D/2025/4 - the proposal by the council to demolish the public toilets beside the cricket pavilion in Jubilee Park.

The council has acted inappropriately in issuing this DA at this time.

This application has been issued almost immediately after the request for public feedback on the draft plan to replace the two sets of public toilets at Jubilee Oval closed. Council's request for public feedback regarding "Upgrading Jubilee Oval Public Toilets" closed on 20 December 2024. The DA notification D/2025/4 proposing to remove the toilet block near the cricket pavilion was sent on the 16<sup>th</sup> January. That is barely three weeks after the council asked for public submissions about the proposal to removal of these facilities, and removal and redevelopment of the other public toilets on the other side of the oval. This time also included the Christmas / New Year break when council was closed down. This means that:

- It is unlikely that council has reviewed and taken feedback from this public consultation process into consideration before issuing this DA advising of the intent to remove the toilet block.
- The design of the new toilet block that is proposed to be built on the other side of the oval, and replace the one that is to be removed as part of the DA proposal, has not been developed yet.

While this approach may be following the letter of the law, it certainly does not pass the pub test. It feels like council is pushing through decisions they have already made, while playing lip service to public consultation.

The toilet block near the cricket pavilion should not be removed until the replacement facilities have been built. This will allow for:

- The design of the replacement block has been completed, and public consultation on the design to be sought, before demolition starts.
- The toilet block beside the cricket pavilion to remain in use during the construction of the new facilities that are planned to be built on the other side of the oval. It would be crazy to remove two sets of public toilets and have none available during the construction of the one replacement set.

I would also like council to consider some other issues about Jubilee Park and the immediate surrounding area of as it looks to redevelop this area.

- In redeveloping the public facilities in Jubilee Park / Bicentennial Park, please consider the needs of people using the playground and other areas of Bicentennial park as you design new facilities. These toilets are the closest to the playground on Northcote Road, Bicentennial Park, and I know from experience that the toilets at the cricket pavilion can feel a long way from this playground when trying to get a toddler to the bathroom quickly. Please consider whether the new toilet block, which is planned to be situated further away on the other side of the oval (replacing the second set of toilets currently at the oval) be functional enough for use by people with small children in Bicentennial Park. Please do this before removing the toilet block next to the cricket pavilion.
- In the design of the new toilets please ensure that there is adequate space for use for changing. The draft design showed several multi-sex cubicles, accessed directly from the outside. Currently the public toilets have enclosed areas outside the cubicle that people playing sport at the oval use to change in.
- In recent years, council has removed almost all of the avenue of trees that run along the path starting at public toilets next to the cricket pavilion, to the intersection at the Alan Truss footbridge. This avenue previously had about 22 palm trees running on either side of the path. Council has removed these one by one over the last few years, without replacing any, and there are now only about 7 trees remaining. I appreciate that council may no longer wish to plant palm trees, but please replace this beautiful avenue of trees as part of the development of this area, even if a different variety is chosen. I have attached a photo to show how much of this avenue has

been removed.

In summary, I do not oppose the replacement of the public facilities in Jubilee Park per se, however the way in which council has gone about this project leaves a lot of room for improvement.

Regards,

Tony Langford  
8 Victoria Road, Glebe

P: [REDACTED]

E: [REDACTED]

**Antony Langford**

m: [REDACTED]

e: [REDACTED]

w: [linkedin.com/tony-langford-australia](https://www.linkedin.com/tony-langford-australia)